UNITED STATES BANKRUPTCY COURT FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF ALABAMA SOUTHERN DIVISION

In the Matter of:	}
Robert Scroggins, Sr. SSN: XXX-XX-3820 Patty Scroggins SSN: XXX-XX-7016	Case No. 14-00911-TBB Chapter 7
Debtor(s)) }

MEMORANDUM OPINION AND ORDER

This case is before the Court on Debtors' Motion to Reopen. It appears that no notice and hearing is necessary for the resolution of this motion. This Court has jurisdiction. 28 U.S.C. §1334(b). This is a core proceeding. 28 U.S.C. §157(b)(2)(A). The Court has considered the motion and has taken judicial notice of the contents of the Court file pursuant to Federal Rule of Evidence 201 and finds and concludes as follows. The debtors' filed a petition for relief under Chapter 7 of the Bankruptcy Code on March 8, 2014. On March 9, 2014, this Court issued a notice pursuant to Rule 2002(e) of the Federal Rules of Bankruptcy Procedure indicating that there appeared to be no assets available from which distributions could be made and directing creditors not to file a proof of claim until they received a notice from the Court to do so. No notice to file claims was ever issued. The trustee filed his Final Report on April 15, 2014. An order discharging the debtors' from all dischargeable debts was entered on June 9, 2014. The case was closed on June 24, 2014.

On March 26, 2015, the Debtors' filed a Motion to Reopen Case. In that motion, the debtors' requested that the case be reopened to amend the schedules to add one (1) debt that preexisted the filing of the Chapter 7 case. Pursuant to 11 U.S.C. §350, a case may be reopened

"to administer assets, to accord relief to the debtor, or for other cause." The only stated reason

for wanting to reopen the case was to amend the schedules to add the one (1) debt. Presumably,

the debtors' are concerned that the debt was not discharged because of the failure to properly list

it. The listing of a debt in the schedules is not a condition of its discharge under 11 U.S.C. §727.

That section provides that the debtor is "discharged . . . from all debts that arose before the date

of the order for relief." Nothing in §727 prevents an unscheduled debt from being discharged.

There is no indication that either the debtors' or the creditor wish to file an adversary proceeding

regarding the dischargeability of the debt in question.

Therefore, the Court concludes that cause does not exist for the case to be reopened. Any

debts which were dischargeable were discharged by the Court's order of June 9, 2014.¹

Accordingly, it is hereby

ORDERED, ADJUDGED AND DECREED that the debtors' Motion to Reopen Case is

DENIED and the debtors' Motion to Waive Filing Fee is **MOOT**.

Dated: March 27, 2015

/s/ Thomas B. Bennett THOMAS B. BENNETT UNITED STATES BANKRUPTCY JUDGE

/sva

¹ The Court would cite the parties to the decision on this issue which was previously entered in In re Coleman, Case No. 93-03514-TOM-7, December 29, 1995, for more in depth analysis of the issue of reopening Chapter 7 cases to amend schedules.